UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/619,325	07/14/2003	Bridget Adele Wright	Ridout & Maybee 7295	
28104 JONES DAY	7590 05/24/2007		EXAM	INER
77 WEST WA		•	WILLIAMS, CATHERINE SERKE	
CHICAGO, IL 60601-1692			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3763	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/24/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		t in the second				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/619,325	WRIGHT ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Catherine S. Williams	3763				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with th	ne correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period value is a specified of the provision of the provisions of the p	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply but apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS at cause the application to become ABAND	TION. De timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
	- ''					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11	, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are rejected.	Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached Of	fice Action of form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigna) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f).				
 Certified copies of the priority document 	ts have been received.					
Certified copies of the priority document						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio		eived in this National Stage				
application from the International Burea		-id				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not rec	eivea.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO/988)	2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					
S. Patent and Trademark Office						

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1,6,8,13-17,19-22 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Challendar et al (USPN 5,492,147). Challendar discloses a coupling device that includes a first part and second part adapted to be coupled together. Each part has a seal (46,32,94,70)that is closed when uncoupled and open when coupled. At least one of the seals includes a diaphragm (70) which includes a slit. One adapted also includes a needle (23) with a skirt (32) having a slit. See figures 1-4.

Claims 1,6,8,11-13,16-17 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Vaillancourt (USPN 7,004,934). Vaillancourt discloses a coupling device that includes a first part and second part adapted to be coupled together. Each part has a seal (12,22) that is closed when uncoupled and open when coupled. At least one of the seals includes a diaphram (12',22') which includes a slit. The diaphragm (22) has an inner surface with a circumferential channel (see figure 2 and connection between 22 and 23).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2-5,18 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Challendar in view of Vaillancourt UPSN (4,511,359). Challendar meets the claim limitations as described above but fails to teach a snap fit mechanism. However, Vaillancourt ('359) teaches such a mechanism. See figure 12B and pertinent text to the figure.

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to substitute the snap fit configuration of Vaillancourt ('359) into the invention of Challendar. The motivation for the incorporation would have been in order to provide an enhanced mechanism for attachment.

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Challendar or Vaillancourt. Challendar and Vaillancourt each meet the claim limitations as described above but both fail to teach the diaphragm made from silicone.

However, at the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to use silicone as the diaphragm material. Silicone is used extensively in the medical art due to its compatibility with the body and low immunological response. The motivation for the incorporation would have been to use a known material for its enhanced properties.

Art Unit: 3763

Claims 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Challendar in view of Hishikawa (USPN 6,808,161). Challendar meets the claim limitations as described above but fails to include the diaphragm having an inner surface with parallel channels to the direction of the slit. However, Hishikawa discloses such a diaphragm. See figures 9 and 10. The diaphragm of Hishikawa is designed for enhanced opening due to force applied.

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to incorporate the diaphragm of Hishikawa into the invention of Challendar. Both devices are analogous in the art of connectors; therefore, a combination is proper. Additionally, the motivation for the incorporation would have been in order to provide a diaphragm that has an enhanced feature for opening when force is applied.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Catherine S. Williams whose telephone number is 571/2724970. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nicholas D. Lucchesi can be reached on 571/2724977. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/619,325 Page 5

Art Unit: 3763

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Catherine S. Williams

Cuthin S. Willi

May 16, 2007

CATHERINE S. WILLIAMS PRIMARY EXAMINER